

REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated March 22, 2006, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on June 22, 2006. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for reasons discussed below.


Double Patenting

Claims 1-8 and 24-26 are provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 15 of co-pending Application No. 10/600,382. Applicants have canceled claims 1-8, thus rendering the rejection moot in regards to these claims. Claim 24 of the present application recites the claim limitation of "analyzing the second view of data to identify cells visible in both the second view of data and the annotated portion of the first view of data." In contrast, claim 15 of co-pending Application No. 10/600,382 does not recite this limitation or any similar limitation. Therefore, Applicants submit that claims 24-26 are patentably distinct from claim 15 of co-pending Application No. 10/600382. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Having addressed all issues set out in the office action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Randol W. Read
Registration No. 43,876
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77056
Telephone: (713) 623-4844
Facsimile: (713) 623-4846
Attorney for Applicant(s)